

Anatomy of a Water War in the Klamath Basin: Macho Law, Combat Biology, and Dirty Politics

Holly Doremus and A. Dan Tarlock
Index by S. Jane Henderson, Indexplorations

Note: Page numbers in italics indicate illustrations.

- A Canal, 53, 138
Acts of God, 153
Adaptive management, 203–206
Adjudication of water rights: Klamath Basin, 41–43, 48, 84–86, 119; Oregon, 43, 72–73, 75
Agency Lake, 24 (map)
Agriculture, 26, 29, 80–83, 157, 192, 212n9
Algal blooms, 15, 141–142
Anadromous fish, 30–33
Anadromous Fish Conservation Act, 78
Anderson-Cottonwood Irrigation District, 78
Appropriative water rights, 37, 40–41, 87, 101, 174, 185
Arizona, groundwater policy, 162–163
Arizona v. California, 83
Arkansas River Valley, 174
Army Corps of Engineers, 77–78, 96
Australia, drought policy of, 156
- Babbitt, Bruce, 85
Babbitt v. Sweet Home Chapter of Communities for a Great Oregon, 108
Ballinger, Richard, 49
Baselines, 148–149
Bear Valley National Wildlife Refuge, 81
Bennett v. Spear, 112
Benson, Reed, 169, 183
Bernstein, Marver, 167–168
Biodiversity conservation, 20, 194–195
Biological opinions. *See* BiOps
BiOps, 93–94, 97–99, 108–109
BiOps (1992), 109, 126, 137
BiOps (2001), 120–124, 129–137
BiOps (2002), 125–129, 137–138, 169, 218–219n67
Bioregionalism, 197–208
Blue-green alga, 142
Bribing, 196–197, 242n40
Burden of proof, appropriate, 118
Bureau of Indian Affairs, 64
Bureau of Land Management, 173–174
Bureau of Reclamation: agricultural leasing program, 82–83; consultation on Klamath Project, 108–109; failures of, 46; faux water bank, 175–176, 203–204; Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act and, 77; on fish die-off, 140; founding vision and water allocation decisions, 8;
Bureau of Reclamation (*continued*): goals of, in Klamath Basin, 146–147; and irrigators' power costs, 55; and Klamath Basin water crisis, 1–2, 18; and Klamath Basin water management, 188–189; Klamath Project operating plans, 108–111, 120, 124–125, 137; priorities of, 57; projects of, and effects on fish, 77–78; public choice theory and, 147; security costs for A Canal headgates, 209n7; tribal fishing rights and, 75; 2001 water releases, 138–139; water delivery contracts, 101; wells financed by, 164
Bush, George H. W., 92
Bush, George W., xvii, 5, 114–115, 121, 140, 153, 159–161, 225n13
- CalFed, 19, 169, 188–189, 206
California: Central Valley Project, 14, 97–99, 188; Department of Water Resources, 164; and doctrine of riparian rights, 40; Fish and Game Commission, 34, 78–79, 140, 142, 234n92; and fish die-off, 140; groundwater regulation in, 163–164; Lower Klamath Basin counties in, 30; and prior appropriation, 39–40; State Water Project, 188. *See also specific cities and places*
California Oregon Power Co. v. Beaver Portland Cement Co., 40
Capture theory, 168
Carey Act, 45
Carrying capacity, 20, 154–155
Carson, Rachel, 7
Cash-flow politics, 152–159
Casinos, 28, 65
Central Arizona Project, 162–163
Cheney, Dick, 160
Chenoweth-Hage, Helen, 4
Chevron USA v. Natural Resources Defense Council, 92
Chiloquin Dam, Sprague River, 103
Chinook salmon, 30–32, 139, 140–141, 234n92
Clean Air Act, 17–18
Clean Water Act (CWA), 9, 89, 96–97, 141, 216n7
Clear Lake, 24 (map), 108–109, 111
Clear Lake National Wildlife Refuge, 81
Clinton, Bill, 92, 169, 225n13
Coase theorem, 196
Coho salmon, 30–31, 105–106, 109–110, 134–136, 138, 143, 234n92
Collaborative governance, 199

- Collaborative planning processes, 19, 171–172, 178, 206
- Combat biology, xvii, 128
- Commercial data, 224–225n8
- Committee on Hydrology, Ecology and Fishes of the Klamath River Basin, 143
- Commodity-user groups, 171
- Common law, 38, 163–164, 242n37
- Congressional Research Service study, 113
- Conservation, 80–81, 95–96, 118–119, 193
- Contingent valuation, 242n37
- Coordination efforts, 77, 142–143, 171
- COPCO (California Oregon Power Company), 24 (map), 42, 55, 78–80, 142, 179
- County supremacy movement, 198
- Courts, 18–19, 101, 138, 167, 220n3. *See also individual court cases*
- Crater Lake, 24 (map), 26
- Critical habitat, 90–91, 93, 107, 225n13–14, 225n20
- Crop insurance program, 158
- Crown-Zellerbach, 65
- Cui-*ui*, 97, 187
- Dams: Chiloquin, Sprague River, 103; construction of, 77–78; COPCO no. 1, 78–80; Gerber, 48; in Klamath River Basin, 24 (map); Link River, 53–54, 108, 126, 176; Lost River, 48; Miller Diversion, 48; proposed by COPCO, 42–43; removal of, 177, 179–180. *See also* Iron Gate Dam
- Decision analysis methods, 204–205
- Decision making, fallibility of, 7–9
- Defenders of Wildlife, 5
- Delta smelt, 188
- Democracy, structured, 205–206
- Desert Land Act (1877), 39, 45
- Dirty politics, 152, 159–161
- Disaster relief, 150, 153–155
- Distributional politics, 152
- Drought assistance, Australia, 156
- Drought policy in U.S., 155–156
- Durable solutions, 170–176
- Earthjustice, 137
- Economic trends, 57–58
- Economy and economies: ecosystem service, 195–197; environment vs., 76; irrigation, 46–53, 60; in Lower Klamath Basin, 23; of Lower Klamath Basin, 33, 35; old vs. new West, 172–173; of resource-dependent West, 35–36; rural welfare, 155; of Upper Klamath Basin, 28–29
- Ecosystem construct, 60, 90–91
- Ecosystem health, 200
- Ecosystem management, 183–184, 189–191, 193–194, 207
- Ecosystem services approach, 34, 195–197, 242n37
- Ecotourism revenue, 83
- Endangered species, 20, 135, 225n15. *See also individual species*
- Endangered Species Act (ESA): overview, 89–90; administrative flexibility of, 201–202; blessing and curse of, 148; citizen suit provision of, 18–19; consultation requirement (section 7), 93–95, 99, 104, 108–109, 133–134, 143–144; ecosystem component of, xv, 90–91; enforcement (section 9), 133–134; fish listings under, 31, 36; focus on science, 119; hydropower project relicensing and, 177; implementation of, 90, 136; Klamath Project and, 1–2; listing decisions, 90–91, 107–111; economic activities conflicts and, 232n77; statute wording, 224n8; natural resource conflicts and, 16–17; regulatory agencies and, 8–9, 92–95, 99–100, 224n5; repeal efforts, 153, 169; risks of overaggressive use, 18; species protection under, 91–95; state water laws and, 95–100, 216n7; threatened species, 135, 225n15; water project operations and, 97
- Energy Policy Act of 2005, 179
- Entitlement holders, 100, 165–166, 196, 205. *See also* Water rights
- Entrainment, 95, 126
- Environment, as marginalized interest, 60, 76–86
- Environmental conflicts: artificial boundaries and, 14–15; complexity of, 16; defining the problem in, 117; power of past decisions in, 7–9; power of science in, 114–115; recurring themes in, 6–7; role of cultural identities in, 9–11; science wars in, 11–13. *See also* Natural resource conflicts
- Environmentalists: federal agency decisions and, 167; on fish die-off, 140; and Klamath Basin water crisis, 5, 11; objectives of, 146; options available to, 22; and scientific policy decisions, 119
- Environmental law: agricultural practices exempted from, 9; and appropriative water rights, 40; federal legislature and, 13; foundational precedents of, 177; marginal protection of, 88; modern, 167–168, 193; premises of, 17; science and, 115–116
- Environmental movement, 56, 60, 88–89
- Environmental protection, 8, 157, 194–195
- ESA. *See* Endangered Species Act (ESA)
- Evolutionarily significant units (ESU), 105–106

Farmers of Klamath Basin: crop yield, 149–150; emergency payments to, 19; and ESA citizen suit provision, 18–19; farm income, 149–150, 235n3; federal crop subsidies, 157; fishway requirements and, 78; individual rights and, 29; and Klamath Basin water crisis, 2–3; on leased land, 151; litigation over contracted water, 8; near Tule and Clear lakes, 49–50; options available to, 22; relief for, 150–151, 156–157; risk tolerance of, 186–187; in Upper Klamath Basin, 26; and water crisis, 4–5; water releases for, 138–139; water rights and political power of, 76; wildlife interests vs., 55–56

Farmers vs. fish dichotomy, 16, 21–22
 Farmers vs. waterfowl, 81–83
 Farm Security Administration, 158
 Farmworkers, 151
 Federal administrative agencies, 8–9, 92–95, 99–100, 146–147, 167–169, 224n5. *See also individual agencies*
 Federal Agricultural Improvement Reform Act (FAIR), 157
 Federal charters, 201–202
 Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), 15–16, 54–55, 109, 168, 176–180, 205
 Federal land patents, 38–39
 Federal Power Act (FPA), 78, 176–177, 179
 Federal Power Commission (FPC), 168, 177. *See also* Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC)
 Federal reclamation program, 37, 41, 44–47
 Federal water policy, 44–45
 Fifth Amendment, U.S. Constitution, 100
 Fish: anadromous, 30–33; decline of native, 76–78; endangered, 97; ESA listings, 31; information shortages about, 142; in Klamath Basin, 59–60; as most endangered animal group, 36; water projects and, 78–80, 95
 Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (1934), 77
 Fish die-offs, 132, 139–140, 234n92
 Fisheries biologists, 128
 Fishermen, 35, 141, 166
 Fishing: commercial, 33, 74; dip-net, 70; downstream interests, 137, 146; draw to, 215n75–76; in Lower Klamath Basin, 23, 35; at mouth of Klamath River, 49; restrictions on, 7, 32, 141; sport, 33–34; treaty rights, 74–76; tribal, 27–28
 Fish screens, 53–54, 126, 218–219n67, 231n38
 Fishway requirements, 77–78, 179
 Fragmented authority, 147–148
 Fremont-Winema National Forest, 65

Geology of Lower Klamath Basin, 29–30
 Gerber Reservoir, 24 (map), 108–109, 111

Gillnet ban, California, 68
 Global climate change, 58, 180
 Gold mining, 38, 66, 213–214n39
 Grant, Ulysses S., 62–63, 67
 Grazing permit program, 46, 173–174
 Great Blackfeet Indian Reservation, 70
 Great Depression, 56
 Great Plains land-use policy, 154
 Green sturgeon, 33
 Groundwater, 161–164, 175–176, 211n28
 Guerilla litigation campaigns, 168

Hardy Report, 110, 125, 128, 137, 143
 Hatcheries, 31, 79
 Hoopa Tribe: and ecosystem management, 9; green sturgeon and, 33; and Klamath River Reservation, 67; land base of, 66; litigation by, 69, 137; reservation of, 67–68; treaty fishing and water rights of, 74–76
 Hydrogeology, 164
 Hydropower projects, 54, 56, 168, 176–180, 191, 205. *See also* Dams

Incidental take permits, 91–92
 Indian law, 60–61, 70–71, 74
 Indian reservations, 61–68, 70
 Indian tribes: aboriginal title vs. permanent property rights, 69; British colonial tradition toward, 61; fishing rights, protection of, 75; forced assimilation of, 62, 70; hydropower licensing and, 177; legal rights of, 59–60; as marginalized interest in Klamath Basin, 60; as marginalized people, 60–70; reserved rights of, 71–76; sovereignty of, 60; tribal culture, 9, 63; tribal rights, 220n3; water rights settlements, Phoenix area, 222n56. *See also individual tribes*
 International free trade, 156–157
 Iron Gate Dam: coho and flows below, 110–111; construction of, 79; fish passage blocked by, 31; licensing of, 176; as Lower Basin boundary, 25; relicensing of, 145; removal of, as alternative, 179
 Iron Gate Reservoir, 24 (map), 79, 142
 Irrigated agriculture, 7, 46–47, 56, 158
 Irrigation economy, 23, 46–53, 60
 Irrigation projects, 14, 45, 50, 52–53, 70–71. *See also individual projects*
 Irrigation return flows, 54
 Irrigators: in California and Oregon, 42; cost of water, 54; direct relief for, 153; economic effects of 2001 crisis, 148–149; efforts to eliminate ESA, 152–153; litigation over water deliveries, 102;

- Irrigators (*continued*): objectives of, 146; power rates for, 15, 54–55, 191–192; response to 2001 BiOps, 120–121; shutoff losses, 150; victim status of, 166; water rights of, 99, 165. *See also* Farmers of Klamath Basin
- Jeopardy opinions, under ESA, 125–127, 135–136, 233n77
- Johnson v. M'Intosh*, 68–69
- Judgments: overview of types, 130; management, 133–134; policy, 21, 134–136; scientific, 21, 130–133
- Karuk Tribe, 9, 66–68, 70, 74–76
- Kelly, Michael, 128, 160–161
- Klamath band, 28, 61–66
- Klamath Basin: agricultural leasing program in, 82–83; alternative visions for ecosystem of, 60; aquatic environments, 30, 36; boundaries of, 14–15; competing interests in, 146–148; continued vulnerability of, 15; ESA enforcement in, 89–90, 103–111; geology of, 23–25; homesteading in, 82; hydraulics of, 53–56; importance as salmon producing river system, 214n40; redress of power imbalance in, 166–167; reform efforts stalled in, 112–113; refuge complex of, 81; scientific uncertainty in, 119; water allocation decisions in, 8. *See also* Lower Klamath Basin; Upper Klamath Basin
- Klamath Basin tribes: ecosystem management and, 9; land base of, 70–71; legal system and, 166; objectives of, 146; reparations for, 11; water rights of, 42, 76. *See also* Lower Basin tribes; Upper Basin tribes; *individual bands and tribes*
- Klamath Basin water crisis: ad hoc responses to, 182; aftermath of, 15–16, 112–113, 142–144; background, 1–6; barriers to resolution of, 11–13; conflicting mandates and, 188; federal tax payers, 150; groundwater pumping in Tule Lake area, 164; institutional responses to, 145; lack of ecosystem perspective in, 192–194; lessons from, 16–22; role of law in, 165; roots of, 44–46, 53–56; themes illustrated in, 6–7; as value conflicts, 184; victims of, 196
- Klamath County, Oregon, 26, 28, 50, 149–150
- Klamath Falls, Oregon, 5–6, 26, 175
- Klamath Hydroelectric Project, 176–180, 191
- Klamath irrigation project. *See* Klamath Project
- Klamath Project: crop acreage after 2001, 149–150; direct payments for irrigators in, 156; disruption of natural systems, 27; ESA consultation requirements on, 108–109; ESA listings, 104–105; Klamath Project (*continued*): establishment of, 47–48; and improved river flows, 75; inefficient water use in, 50; irrigable acreage, 209n4; irrigation deliveries, injunction on, 110–111; as legacy of reclamation era, 44–46; maintenance and operation costs, 48–49; operating plans for (*See* Bureau of Reclamation); return flows, 54; subsidies to irrigators, 54, 56; water crisis, 14 (*See also* Klamath Basin water crisis); water distribution in, 51 (map). *See also* Irrigators
- Klamath Reservation, 61–68
- Klamath River: blue-green algae in, 142; and dams, 79; dead chinook salmon in, 139; fish die-off, 234n92; flows proposed in Klamath Project operating plan, 124–125; outlet of, 26; watershed extent, 14–15; watershed topography, 23–25, 29–30; as Wild and Scenic River, 34
- Klamath River Basin, 24 (map)
- Klamath River Basin Compact, 42–43
- Klamath River Basin Fisheries Task Force, 143
- Klamath Riverkeepers, 142
- Klamath-Siskiyou bioregion, 30
- Klamath Termination Act (1954), 64
- Klamath Tribe, 62, 65, 70–74, 129, 220n5
- Klamath Water Users Association, 150, 186
- Klamath Water Users Protective Association v. Patterson*, 99–100
- Kuchel Act (1964), 82–83
- Lacey Act, 60
- Lahontan cutthroat trout, 97, 187
- Lake Ewauna, 2, 24 (map)
- Laws and the law: common, 163–164, 242n37; and constrained problem solving, 148; enforcement of, in water crisis, 3–4; federal, administration of, 161; federal land management, 89; Indian, 60–61, 70–71, 74; macho, use of term, xvii; natural resource conflicts and, 17; natural resources, 193–194; of riparian rights, 38; state water, and ESA, 95–100, 216n7; of takings, 100; of water allocation, 12–13. *See also* Environmental law; Litigation
- Legal system, functions of, 165–166
- Lewis, William, 122, 129
- Link River Dam, 53–54, 108, 126, 176
- Linkville Ditch and Water Company, 47
- Litigation: as catalyst, 18; driving factors, in Klamath Basin, 167; by environmental groups, 168; by Klamath Basin tribes, 69, 75–76, 137; modern environmental law and, 17; over algal blooms, 142; over contracted water, 8; over water deliveries, 102; resulting from 1992 BiOps, 137;

Litigation (*continued*): resulting from 2002 BiOps, 137–138; stakeholder objectives, 146
 Livestock ranching, 46–47
Loretto v. Teleprompter Manhattan CATV Corporation, 102
 Lost River, 24 (map), 62–63
 Lost River suckers (*qapdo*). *See* Suckers, Lost River and shortnose
 Lower Basin tribes, 9, 66, 69, 142, 165
 Lower Klamath Basin, 14–15, 23, 24 (map), 25, 29–35
 Lower Klamath Lake, 24 (map), 48, 79–80, 222n88
 Lower Klamath Lake National Wildlife Refuge, 7, 54, 81–85
 Lucky Bear casino, 69–70
 Lumber mills, 34

 Macho law, use of term, xvii
 Malin, Oregon, 49, 164
 Management judgments, 130, 133–134
Marbury v. Madison, 167
 Markets, discipline of, 172–176
 Modoc County, California, 149
 Modoc Indians, 61–66, 220n5
 Modular regulation theory, 171, 205–206, 238n56
 Moral hazards, 155–156, 196–197
 Mormons, 45, 216n2
 Multiple use, 80–81, 89
 Murray-Darling Basin, 200–201

 National Academy of Sciences, 121, 160
National Association of Home Builders v. Defenders of Wildlife, 100
 National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), 88–89, 194
 National Forest Management Act, 18
 National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS): ESA implementation responsibility, 90, 105–107, 225n15; ESU identification, 105–106; fishing restrictions by, 141; goals of, in Klamath Basin, 146–147; and green sturgeon, 33; and hydropower relicensing, 177, 179; jeopardy opinions on Klamath Project operating plans, 125–127; judgments by, 133–136; and Klamath Basin water crisis, 1; and Klamath Project operating plans, 110, 119–120; Pacific chinook populations, 32; reasonable and prudent alternative (RPA), 127–128; on requirements of coho, 109–110; water crisis aftermath, 143–144. *See also* BiOps
 National park system, 80, 241n25
 National Research Council (NRC): Dick Cheney and, 160; criticism of judgments in BiOps, 132–134; findings of and response to, xvi;
 National Research Council (NRC) (*continued*): and interagency science war, 124–128; origins and operations of, 120–122; other reviews by, 237n36; preliminary report, effect of, 129–130; review model, 190; review of 2001 BiOps, 122–124, 136–137; vulnerability of reports to misuse, 191
 National Water Commissions, 207
 National wildlife refuges, 7, 27, 54, 81–85, 149, 186, 222n92
 Natural resource conflicts: collaborative planning and, 19; flexibility in addressing, 205; principles for resolution of, 20–22; role of legal system in, 165–170; stages of, 183; strategies for solving, 16–20. *See also* Environmental conflicts
 Natural resource management, 115
 Natural resource regulation, 189
 Natural Resources Conservation Services (NRCS), 158
 Natural resources law, 193–194
 Neo-economic theories, 56
 Neowelfare economics, 158
 New Deal, 154, 224n1
 Newell, Frederick, 45–47, 52
 Newlands Reclamation Project, 97, 186
 New Mexico, 162–163
 NMFS. *See* National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS)
 NOAA Fisheries. *See* National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS)
 Nondrought risks, 158
 Nonequilibrium ecology, 204–205
 Nonmarket values, 34
 Northern spotted owl, 28, 92, 182–183
 Norton, Gale, 4, 138, 160
 NRC. *See* National Research Council (NRC)

O'Neill v. United States, 97–99, 101–103
 Oregon: groundwater regulation in, 163–164; Independent Multi-disciplinary Science Team, 129; and prior appropriation, 39–40; Public Utility Commission, 55; Water Resources Department, 8; water rights adjudication, 43, 72–73, 75, 85
 Oregon State University, 125–126
 Oregon Wild, 180
 Orr Ditch Decree, 97

Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen's Association v. U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, 110
 Pacific Fishery Management Council, 74, 141
 Pacific Flyway, 27, 55
 Pacific lamprey, 33
 PacifiCorp, 15, 54–55, 109, 142, 176–180
Parravano v. Babbitt, 74

- Peer review, 189–190
- Pelican Island, Florida, 81
- Place-based experimentation, 199–208, 243n50
- Policy decisions, scientific approach to, 116–117, 129–130
- Policy judgments, 21, 130, 134–136
- Political boundaries, 14–15
- Political crises, 181–182
- Politics, definitions of, 151–152
- Potato production in North America, 50–52
- Powell, John Wesley, 154, 156, 198–199
- Preservation, concept of, 80–81
- Prior appropriation doctrine, 37–42, 72, 162–164
- Private water trusts, 203–204
- Progressive conservation era, 60, 80–81
- Property rights, reassignment of, 202–203
- Public choice theory, 147, 187–188
- Public domain, 39, 80
- Public goods and private markets, 195–196
- Public Land Law Review, 207
- Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe, 97, 187
- Reagan, Ronald, administration of, 90
- Reclamation Act (1902), 38, 46–49, 52, 165, 193–194
- Reclamation era decline, 22, 56–58
- Redwood National Park, 34–35
- Regional management, 183. *See also* Bioregionalism
- Regulating Business by Independent Commission* (Bernstein), 167–168
- Regulatory decision review, 190
- Regulatory fragmentation, 187–189
- Report on the Lands of the Arid Region* (Powell), 198–199
- Research science, 12–13, 130–133, 136
- Reservoirs of Klamath Basin, 24 (map), 108–109, 111, 141–142
- Resolving the Klamath* (Oregon Water Resources Department), 8
- Resource management, 131
- Resource-use decisions, 173–174
- Resource valuation, 34
- Review committees, 190–191
- Riparian rights, 38, 40, 48
- Risk Management Agency, 157
- Riverside Irrigation District v. Andrews*, 96
- Rivers that work vs. working rivers, 57, 182
- Roosevelt, Franklin D., 63, 155, 160
- Roosevelt, Theodore, 41, 47, 81
- Rove, Karl, 5, 159
- Rural welfare economy, 155
- Sagebrush Rebellion, 198
- Salmon, 54, 79, 139–141. *See also* Chinook salmon; Coho salmon
- San Francisco Bay-Delta ecosystem, 19, 169, 188–189, 206
- Scenic Hudson Preservation Council v. FPC*, 177
- Science: centrism, costs of, 114–118; contested resource decisions and, 118; limitations of data, 11–13; natural resource conflicts and, 16–17; and spin, 118; in vision for a sustainable landscape, 20–21
- Scientific judgments, 130–133
- Screening, 53–54, 126, 218–219n67, 231n38
- Settlement Act, 68
- Settlement negotiations, in hydropower relicensing, 178, 205
- Shortage clauses, 102–103
- Shortnose suckers (*Cwaam*). *See* Suckers, Lost River and shortnose
- Silent Spring* (Carson), 7
- Sitllwater National Wildlife Refuge, 186
- Smith, Gordon, 138, 153
- Snake Paiute Tribe, 61–66
- Sound science movement, 119, 129
- Sovereign immunity, 72–73
- Species, defined, 90
- Stakeholders: in hydropower project relicensing, 178; in modular regulation, 171; in natural resource conflicts, 21; negotiations of, in response to water crisis, 145; processes of, in Klamath Basin, 148; stewardship initiatives by, 199
- State parks, 34–35
- Statistical significance, 130–131
- Status quo, 7–9, 19, 88, 111, 113, 146
- Steelhead, 31, 33, 54, 79–80
- Structured democracy, 205–206
- Suckers, Lost River and shortnose, 28, 104; overview, 27; decline of, 79–80; ESA listing of, 103–104; policy judgments in protection of, 134–136; recovery plan for, 105; screening to reduce entrainment of, 126; water quality and, 143
- Sustainable landscape, 20–22, 147–148, 192–197, 207–208
- Take or takings, 91–92, 100–103, 107–108, 225n15
- Termination Act, 63–65, 72–74
- Threatened species, 135, 225n15
- Timber, 28, 34, 92
- Trinity River, 14, 24 (map)
- Truckee-Carson Irrigation District (TCID), 97, 227n53
- Truckee River Operating Agreement (TROA), 187

- Tulare Lake Basin Water Storage District v. United States*, 101–102
- Tule Lake, 24 (map), 48, 50, 79–80, 222n88
- Tule Lake Irrigation District, 149–150
- Tule Lake National Wildlife Refuge, 7, 54, 81, 83–85, 149
- United States v. Adair*, 72–76, 85
- United States v. New Mexico*, 83–84
- University of California, 125–126
- Upper Basin tribes, 61–66, 72–74
- Upper Klamath Basin: overview, 26–29; area of, 23–25, 24 (map); background, xvi; boundaries of, 14–15; challenges of, for agriculture, 8; conversion of, to irrigation economy, 46–53; farms of, 53, 209–210n9; irrigated acreage, 149; non-farm payroll employment, 28; outdoor recreation, 174; potato production, 50–52; protest signs, 3, water quality, 7; water rights adjudication, 43
- Upper Klamath Basin Working Group, 142, 185, 205
- Upper Klamath Lake, 24 (map); die-off of suckers, 103; lake levels, 109, 111, 124–125, 127, 132; as major feature in Upper Klamath Basin, 27; as origin of Klamath River, 23; as storage facility for Klamath Project, 25, 53
- Upper Klamath Lake National Wildlife Refuge, 81, 85
- U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), 26–27, 157–158
- U.S. Department of Commerce, 32, 90, 141
- U.S. Department of the Interior: ESA and, 90, 95, 169; Indian salmon harvest and, 74; in Klamath Basin water crisis, 159–161; in Klamath Basin water management, 189; and Klamath Project, 1; and Klamath Reservation, 67; litigation against, by Hoopa and Yurok, 69; Termination Act and, 65; trust duties of, 97; 2003 appropriations bill, 222n92
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services (FWS): in aftermath of water crisis, 143–144; and COPCO dam, 79; and endangered sucker species, 105, 109–110; ESA implementation responsibility, 90; on fish die-off, 140, 234n92; goals of, in Klamath Basin, 146–147; and hydropower relicensing, 177, 179; judgments of, 133–136; and Klamath Project, 1; and Klamath Project operating plans, 110, 119–120, 123–126; protection of threatened species, 225n15; and wildlife refuge farming, 82–83. *See also* BiOps
- U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), 143, 164
- Valles Caldera National Preserve and Trust, 202–204
- Values, 34, 87, 116–118, 184, 242n37
- Vision of a sustainable landscape, 20–22, 192–197, 207–208
- Wallace, David Rains, 23, 29–30
- Water: banking, 174–176; as the different resource, 183–192; flow, and fish die-off, 140; forecasting methods, 126; quality, 7, 141–143; transfers, 174–175, 185–186, 196–197; wells, 164; withdrawal caps, 200–201
- Water allocation, 8, 10, 12–14, 40, 96, 117, 181–182, 210–211n27. *See also* Water: transfers
- Waterfowl, 27, 53–56, 81–83
- Water rights: common law of, 38; conservation requirements and, 95–96; ESA and, 95–100; Indian, settlements of, 222n56; in Klamath Basin, 42–43, 165–166; of Klamath Basin tribes, 74–76; of Klamath Tribe, 70–71; ownership and, 102; public domain and, 39; reserved, 71–76, 83–86, 88, 97; state-created, 41; takings claims and, 100, 108; Termination Act and, 72–74. *See also* Adjudication of water rights; Appropriative water rights; Prior appropriation doctrine; Riparian rights
- WaterWatch Oregon, 164, 180
- Weaverville, California, 30, 69
- Westlands Water District, 53, 97–99
- Wetlands, 26–27, 46, 53, 81, 83, 158
- Wildlife conservation, 59–60, 80–81
- Winters v. United States*, 70–71, 83–84
- Yahooskin band, 65–66
- Yurok Tribe: and ecosystem management, 9; on fish die-off, 140; green sturgeon and, 33; and Klamath River Reservation, 67; land base of, 66; litigation by, 69, 75–76, 137; reservation of, 68; treaty fishing and water rights of, 74–76