

Note: Page numbers in italics indicate figures or tables.

- ACLU (American Civil Liberties Union), 44,
170 n.25, 173 n.62
- addiction, and homelessness, 153, 156, 162 n.62
- affordable housing, 26, 27, 29
- African Americans. *See* Black people,
banishment of
- American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU), 44,
170 n.25, 173 n.62
- Anaheim, California, park exclusion in, 171 n.34
- anticrime organizations, and banishment
practices, 95
- Anti-Social Behavioral Contracts (U.K.), 9
- Anti-Social Behavioral Orders (U.K.), 9
- appeal rights, in park exclusion ordinance, 48
- appellate courts, 146
- arrests
for banishment order violation, 97–98
for drug crimes, by race, 68, 69, 166 n.55
for drug traffic loitering, 170 n.25
ease of, for SOAP and SODA violations,
96–97
of mentally ill individuals, 180 n.6
records of, published by *Real Change*, 78–
79
for trespass violations, 50, 52, 90, 107–108
- attempted VUCSA (Violation of the Uniform
Controlled Substances Act), 87–88
- Aurora Motel Trespass Program, 51, 73, 94–95
- banished, the
citizenship rights of, 16
demographics of, 65–69
effect of social control mechanisms on, 105–
106
interviews with, 106–109
Jose's story, 6–7, 109, 120, 122, 133–134
perception of exclusion zones by, 121
race/ethnicity of, 13, 67–68, 74, 90
Rhonda's story, 3–5, 118, 157 n.2
Tom's story, 5–6
- banishment
anticrime organizations and, 95
characteristics of new techniques, 61
consequences of, 116–125
as crime-fighting tool, 104
and democracy, 58–60
as extension of civility codes, 34
as fundamentally flawed endeavor, 138, 140,
3135
geography of, 76
goals of, 103, 105–106, 132–133
historical and contemporary uses, 10–11
individuals of color and, 25, 67–68, 90, 128–
129, 156
legal authority of, 141, 146, 160 n.28
negative consequences of, 117, 118, 119,
120–121, 120–122, 122, 124–125,
133, 136–138
negative effects connected to, 136–138
political pressure for, 93–96
popularity of, 92–100
reasons for, 77–82
as social control mechanism, 15, 54, 63
use of term, 11–12
violation of, 57–58, 97–98
See also exclusion; off-limits orders; park
exclusion laws; trespass
admonishments
- basic needs, reliable time-space patterns as
requirement of meeting, 143–144
- Bauman, Zygmunt, 12
- Black people, banishment of, 3–5, 13, 67–68,
67–69, 74, 78, 80–83, 90, 127
- book and magazine vendors, 105
- Bratton, William, 33
- broken windows philosophy
arguments by proponents of, 39
crime, politics, and, 31–34
disorder in, 16
homelessness and, 24
implications of, 93
as justification for banishment, 55

- broken windows philosophy (*continued*)
 - and New York City's decline in crime, 174–175 n.77
 - popularity vs. evidence, 167 n.59
- "Broken Windows Probation" (Manhattan Institute), 52–53
- business community, support for use of social control tools by, 64
- Cal Anderson Park, 66
- challenges to legal authority of banishment, 146
- Cincinnati, Ohio, spatial restrictions in, 158–159 n.17, 171 n.36
- cities in U.S. using exclusion orders, 8
- citizenship rights, of the banished, 16, 56–57
- City Hall Park, 66
- civil banishment orders. *See* off-limits orders; park exclusion laws; trespass admonishments
- civility codes/laws
 - and broken windows theory, 33–34
 - and criminalization of behaviors, 14, 24
 - development and passage of, 56, 93
 - evolution of, 40, 168 n.7
 - problems associated with, 162 n.54
 - spatial exclusion mechanisms compared to, 15
 - vagrancy laws compared to, 161 n.48
- civil law, as basis of banishment policies, 11
- civil rights protesters, and vagrancy laws, 13
- Clean and Safe program, 94
- community-based care, 31
- Community Court, 67, 148, 149, 151, 176 n.7
- community drug treatment, expense of, 150
- community prosecutors, and banishment practices, 95
- community supervision programs, 53, 88
- compliance with exclusion orders, 109, 110–111, 115
- constitutionality of banishment, questionable, 130
- contesting banishment, 142–155, 144, 145–147
- convictions, under prostitution and drug loitering-with-intent laws, 45
- cost-effectiveness, of Housing First approach, 154
- costs of banishment, 100–101, 140, 141, 144, 151
- counterproductiveness of banishment, 141
- Courthouse Park (Muscatel Meadow), 139
- courts
 - appellate, 146
 - community, 67, 148, 149, 151, 176 n.7
 - criminal, 145–147
 - drug diversion, 148–149
 - mental health, 78, 148
 - rights revolution of, 13
 - therapeutic, 141, 147–151, 175 n.78, 180 n.17
 - See also* Seattle Municipal Court system
- crime, in American political discourse, 31–34
- crime-fighting tools, banishment touted as, 104
- crime prevention councils, 43, 169 n.24
- criminal codes, personal, gang injunctions and, 9, 159 n.19, 159 n.21, 174 n.75
- criminal courts, as means to contesting banishment, 145–147
- criminalization
 - of behaviors through civility laws, 14
 - of disorder, 38–41
 - embrace of in U.S., 144
 - of exclusion, 52, 56–67, 92, 100–101
- criminal justice system
 - banishment and, 85–86
 - intervention by, as potentially counterproductive, 151–152, 153–154
 - as last safety net, 144
 - social problems and, 26, 140, 143
- criminal law, infusion of, with civil legal authority, 16
- criminal punishment, resurgence in, 31
- damage control, banishment as, 143
- defense attorneys, 146–147
- democratic policing, banishment as incompatible with, 144
- Department of Corrections (DOC), 52–54, 97–98
- DESC (Downtown Emergency Services Center), 114, 154
- discrimination concerns, in use of banishment, 13, 50, 68, 74, 128–129

- disorder
 - banishment as response to, 63
 - criminalization of, 38–41
 - public concerns about, 25–26
 - symbolic politics of, 33
- displacement, as policy goal, 54–55, 132–133
- DOC (Department of Corrections), 52–54, 97–98
- Downtown Business Association, 93
- Downtown Emergency Services Center (DESC), 114, 154
- drinking, and exclusion orders, 126–127
- drug arrests, 32, 69, 87–88, 166 n.55, 178 n.11
- Drug Diversion Court, King County, 148–149
- drug-free zones, 171 n.35, 171 n.36
- drug misdemeanor cases, 88
- drug traffickers, known, defined, 171 n.35
- drug traffic loitering-with-intent laws, 4, 42–45, 170 n.25, 170 n.31
 - See also* loitering laws
- drug treatment, through courts vs. community, 150
- due process protections
 - argument over, 147
 - denial of, in parks exclusion, 47–48, 100, 105
 - denial of, in trespass enforcement, 58, 145
 - gang injunctions and, 9, 159 n.19, 159 n.21, 174 n.75
- 1811 Eastlake, 142, 154–155, 156
- Emergency Psychiatric Services (EPS) facility, 176 n. 15
- emergency shelters, 27–28, 29
- estrangement, 12
- exclusion
 - banishment compared to, 11
 - criminalization of, 52, 56–67, 92, 100–101
 - and deprivation of political rights, 12
 - geography of, 69–77
 - justification of, 105–106
- exclusion orders
 - ambivalence about, 116–117
 - compliance with, 109, 110–111, 115
 - for drinking, 126–127
 - gentrification and use of, 76
 - judicially granted exceptions to, 131–132
 - legitimacy of, 125–126
 - negative consequences of enforcement, 117, 118, 119, 120–122, 124–125, 133, 136–138
 - in Portland, Oregon, 158–159 n.17, 171 n.36
 - recipients of, 76–77 (*See also* banished, the)
 - symbolic message embedded in, 123–124
- exclusion zones
 - access to free meals in, 134–135
 - ambiguity in boundaries of, 130–131
 - enforcement of, and pressure to relocate, 82
 - expansion of, 15
 - perception of, by the banished, 121
- expense of banishment, 100–101, 140, 141, 144, 151
- families with children, as percent of homeless population, 25
- family contact, lack of, 118
- federal support, decline in, for the impoverished, 29–30
- First Amendment, and panhandling, 177 n.18
- Florida loitering law, 13–14
- free meals in exclusion zones, 134–135
- frequent flyer phenomenon, 122–123
- gang injunctions, 9, 159 n.19, 159 n.21, 174 n.75
- gang loitering ordinance, Chicago, 59
- gentrification, 27, 76
- geographic boundary condition, 88
- geographic mobility, impairment of, 125
- Giuliani, Rudolph, 33
- Gladwell, Malcolm, 55
- harassment, exclusion orders and feelings of, 122
- harm reduction model, 141, 151–155
- Hawaii, trespass programs in, 52
- Hispanics, banishment of, 6–7, 68–69, 106, 118–119
- homeless individuals
 - and banishment, 63, 65–67, 80–81, 83, 125–126
 - bias against, 124
 - dehumanization of, 162 n.62
 - encounters with police, 79
 - importance of parks to, 111–113
 - and meaning of place, 104, 115

- homeless individuals (*continued*)
 media portrayal of, 183 n.39
 in Seattle Municipal Court system, 161 n.50
 and shelters, drawbacks of, 113–114
- homelessness
 addiction and, 153, 156
 demographics of, 25
 increases in, 93
 mentally ill people and, 30–31, 156
 poverty and, 26–27
 and public concerns about disorder, 25–26
 rises in, 26–31
 visibility of, and broken windows
 philosophy, 24
- home ownership tax benefits, compared to HUD
 budget, 29
- housing
 affordable, 26, 27, 29
 emergency options, 153
 government assistance for, 28, 165 n.33
 market changes in, 26–27
 Operation Safe Housing program, 9, 50
 permanent, in Housing First approach, 153
 Quality Housing and Work Responsibility
 Act, 49–50
 wet, 154
 See also public housing
- Housing First approach, 141–142, 151–155, 182
 n.35
- HUD (Housing and Urban Development), 29
- incarceration
 as deterrent, 109–110
 effect on individuals with mental illness, 148
 expense of, 149, 151
 rates of, 31–32, 166 n.52
- income-earning opportunities, exclusion orders
 and lack of access to, 120–121
- income inequality in U.S., 26
- inequality, manifestations of, 24–26
- injustices of banishment, 50, 127–129, 147
- insecurity, exclusion orders and feelings of, 122
- intent-to-engage manifestations, 169–170 n.25
- interview data, analysis of, 108
- interviews with banished individuals, 106–109
- judges, role in banishment, 98–100
- Kelling, George, 32
- Keynesian economic policy, 28
- King County, Washington, 45, 148–149, 178
 n.11
- known drug traffickers, defined, 171 n.35
- labor organizing, and vagrancy laws, 13
- Latino. *See* Hispanics, banishment of
- legal authority of banishment, 141, 146, 160
 n.28
- legal challenges to banishment, 58, 173 n.62,
 173–174 n.69
- legal history of individuals arrested for trespass
 violations, 107–108
- legal hybridity of social control mechanisms, 15,
 57–58
- legitimacy of exclusion orders, 125–126
- Licata, Nick, 47
- loitering laws, 13–14, 41, 59
- loitering-with-intent laws, 4, 40, 41–45, 170
 n.25, 170 n.31
 See also drug traffic loitering-with-intent
 laws
- Los Angeles, restrictions on loitering, 41
- low-income housing, government support in
 U.S. compared to Europe, 165 n.33
- low-income people, decline in federal support
 for, 29–30
- Maleng, Norm, 87
- Manhattan Institute, "Broken Windows
 Probation," 52–53
- meaning of place, 104, 115
- media portrayal of homeless individuals, 183
 n.39
- medical services, exclusion orders and reduction
 in, 119
- mental health courts, 78, 148
- mental illness, 78, 148, 156, 180 n.6
- methadone programs, exclusion orders and lack
 of access to, 120, 133
- Metropolitan Improvement District (MID), 94
- middle class, decline of, 26
- minimum-wage jobs, 26, 164 n.14
- mobility impairments, as a consequence of
 exclusion orders, 117
- mortgage interest deductions, federal tax
 expenditures for, 29–30

- multiproperty trespass programs, 51, 92, 94–95, 97
- municipalities, and trespass law enforcement, 49
- Native Americans, banishment of, 5–6, 67–69, 80, 83, 111
- (NCI) Neighborhood Corrections Initiative, 53, 94, 97–98, 122–123, 179 n.30
- needle exchange facilities, 72, 119
- negative consequences of banishment, 117, 118, 119, 120–121, 120–122, 122, 124–125, 133, 136–138
- Neighborhood Corrections Initiative (NCI), 53, 94, 97–98, 122–123, 179 n.30
- neoliberalism, rise of, 28–31
- New York, 8–9, 9, 33, 50
- no-contact orders, 162 n.52, 177 n.10
- nuisance abatement statutes, 159 n.19
- Occidental Park, 66
- off-limits orders
 - drug traffic loitering ordinance and, 44
 - growth of, 86–90
 - records unavailable for, 68
 - as social control mechanism, 54, 55
 - standardization and expansion of, in Seattle, 171 n.35
 - violations of, 45
 - See also* SOAP (Stay Out of Areas of Prostitution); SODA (Stay Out of Drug Area); trespass admonishments
- Operation Safe Housing program, New York City, 9, 50
- Othello Merchants Trespass Coalition, 74
- PADT (Protected Against Drug Trafficking) areas, 171 n.35
- panhandling, 81, 177 n.18
- Papachristou v. City of Jacksonville*, 13–14
- park exclusion laws
 - and due process protections, denial of, 100, 105
 - as innovation in trespass law, 47–49
 - in Oregon municipalities, 172 n.52
 - in Portland, Oregon, 47
 - in Seattle, 47, 48, 59
 - as social control mechanism, 54
- park exclusion orders
 - analysis of, 64–65, 107
 - and homeless individuals, 66, 83
 - increases in, 89
 - by locale, 76
 - race/ethnicity of recipients, 67–68, 90
 - reasons given for use of, 77
 - in Seattle, 69–70, 89
 - spatial consequences of, 90
 - violations of, 47
- parking lot trespass programs, 51, 92
- Parks Enhanced Code Enforcement Ordinance, 157 n.3
- parole officers, joint patrols with police, 53
- physical illness, and homelessness, 156
- Pike Place Market, 5
- Pioneer Square neighborhood, 23
- police authority, enhanced, 45, 49, 138, 142–143, 156
- police departments, and broken windows theory, 32–33
- police officers
 - banishment practices of, 95–98
 - homeless individuals, encounters with, 79
 - paperwork requirements, 176 n. 15
 - power, arbitrary exercise of, 145–146
 - and trespass admonishments, 56, 176 n. 12
 - use of social control mechanisms by, 17, 40–41, 58, 64
 - See also* Seattle Police Department (SPD)
- Portland, Oregon
 - exclusion orders in, 158–159 n.17, 171 n.36
 - park exclusion laws in, 47, 172 n.52
- postindustrial economy, and rise of
 - homelessness, 26
- poverty, 26–27, 29–30, 156
- precinct liaisons, 94–95, 99
- Prefontaine Place, 139–140
- probable cause, in SOAP and SODA arrests, 96–97
- probation, 52–53
- probation violations, 88
- problem-solving courts, 141, 147–151, 175 n.78, 180 n.17
- projects of reassurance, 178 n.19
- proponents of banishment, 105
- prosecutors, and banishment, 98–100, 146

prostitution-free zones, Portland, Oregon, 171
n.36
prostitution loitering-with-intent laws, 42–45
Protected Against Drug Trafficking (PADT)
areas, 171 n.35
public defenders, 146
public housing
East Precinct, Seattle, 72
government withdrawal from provision of,
29, 31, 143
trespass programs, 8–9, 49–50
in U.S. compared to Europe, 165 n.33
public relations, and support for banishment,
143
punishment, resurgence in, 31, 100–101, 152–
153

Quality Housing and Work Responsibility Act,
49–50

Rainier Beach Trespass Program, participating
businesses, 75
Rainier Valley neighborhood, 72, 74
Ramon, Frank, 42
Real Change, 64–65, 78–79
redevelopment efforts, in postindustrial cities, 30
relocation, 54–55, 82, 136–137
Rice, Norm, 43
rights revolution of U.S. courts, 13
Roberts, Dorothy, 59

safe injection sites, 152–153, 182 n.33
Seattle
downtown, 6, 69–70, 89, 134
Downtown Business Association, 93
East Precinct, 72–73
as focus in analysis of banishment, 14–15
North Precinct, 73, 179 n.29
parks exclusion ordinance, adoption of, 47,
59
petition to City Council for enforcement of
vagrancy and loitering laws, 38–39
as place to live, 8
redevelopment efforts in, 30
social disadvantage in, 70
South Precinct, 43, 74
Victor Steinbrueck Park, 5
West Precinct, 51, 92, 179 n.30

See also specific parks and public spaces
Seattle Municipal Court system
arrests for trespass in the parks, 90
defendants in, and homelessness, 161 n.50
homeless as percent of all defendants, 176
n.8
monthly filings in, 88
and SODA restrictions, 177 n.9
trespass cases in, 86, 162 n.53
violations of no-contact orders in, 177 n.10
Seattle Neighborhood Group, 51, 173 n.64, 179
n.25
Seattle Ordinances, 157 n.1, 157 n.3
Seattle Police Department (SPD), 39–40, 51,
52–54
See also police authority, enhanced; police
officers
Seattle v. Slack, 43
service jobs, prominence of, 26
shelters for homeless individuals, 113–114, 153
Sidran, Mark, 44
single resident only (SRO) hotels, 27
skid row, 23
sleeping, as grounds for exclusion, 66, 126
SOAP (Stay Out of Areas of Prostitution)
arrests, 96–97, 99
SOAP (Stay Out of Areas of Prostitution)
orders, 4, 45–46, 100, 123, 171 n.41
SOAP (Stay Out of Areas of Prostitution) zones,
45–46
social contact, exclusion orders and loss of, 111,
114, 118–119
social control mechanisms
challenge, imperviousness to, 58
constitutive perspective, 168 n.65
defenses for, 55
effects of, on the banished, 105–106
expansion of, 140
goals of, 54
legal hybridity of, 15, 57–58
origins of, 59
and police power, 17, 40–41
state's authority, extension of, 11
targets of, 64
as term, 157–158 n.6
in by U.S. cities, 9
social disadvantage indicators, 70
socially disadvantaged populations, 39–40, 156

- social problems, 26, 63, 140, 143
social safety net, fraying of, 28
social services, 31, 114–115, 119, 150–151, 153
SODA (Stay Out of Drug Area) arrests, 96–97, 99
SODA (Stay Out of Drug Area) orders
 attempted VUCSA charges and increase in imposition of, 99
 defense lawyers and, 177 n.4
 increase in imposition of, 86–87
 for King County drug offenders, 178 n.11
 NCI officers and, 98
 as social control mechanism, 45
 violations of, 100
SODA (Stay Out of Drug Area) zones, 4, 45–46, 89, 129–130
spatial exclusion mechanisms. *See* social control mechanisms
SRO (single room occupancy), gentrification and loss of, 27
Stay Out of Areas of Prostitution (SOAP). *See* SOAP (Stay Out of Areas of Prostitution)
Stay Out of Drug Area (SODA). *See* SODA (Stay Out of Drug Area)
"Street Watch" column, 65
Streetwise (film), 169 n.17
Supreme Court, 24, 38, 56
surveillance capacity, extension of, through social control tools, 11
survey of trespass programs, 50
symbolic content of banishment, 137
symbolic politics of disorder, 33
syringe exchange facilities, 72, 119

targets of banishment, 140
teenage loitering, 73
therapeutic courts, 141, 147–151, 175 n.78, 180 n.17
time-space patterns, reliable, as requirement of meeting basic needs, 143–144
Tipping Point, The (Gladwell), 55
transients, 23, 79
treatment expense, through courts *vs.* the community, 150

trespass admonishments
 ACLU complaint against, in Hawaii, 173 n.62
 data analysis, 64
 defined as civil acts, 58
 discrimination concerns in use of, 50 (*See also* injustices of banishment)
 expansion of, 51, 90–92
 and generation of criminal cases, 52
 and homeless individuals, 67, 83
 lack of due process in, 145
 by locale, 76
 and mentally ill individuals, 78
 police officers and, 56, 176 n. 12
 race/ethnicity of recipients, 68
 reasons given for use of, 77
 in response to panhandling, 81
 in Seattle, 50–51, 65, 69–74, 70, 71, 173 n.64
 as social control mechanism, 54
 violations of, 50, 51, 58, 90, 107–108
Trespass Affidavit Program (TAP), 9
trespass authorization agreements, 176 n. 14
trespass court cases, 86, 92, 162 n.53
trespass enforcement, 58, 65–66, 72–73, 91, 145
trespass exclusions, 6–7, 47, 52, 70, 72, 74, 174 n.75
trespass in the parks charges, 92, 178 nn.15–16
 See also park exclusion laws
trespass law, innovations in, 46–54
trespass programs
 in Hawaii, 52
 legal challenges to, 173 n.62, 173–174 n.69
 multiproperty, 51, 92, 94–95, 97
 parking lot, 51
 public housing and, 8–9, 49–50
 Rainier Beach, 75
 Seattle Neighborhood Group, 51, 173 n.64, 179 n.25
 survey of, 50
trespass zones, spatial extent of, 145–146
Tsemberis, Sam, 153

United Kingdom, 9
urban social control, 37–38
 See also social control mechanisms
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, 153

vagrancy laws, 12–14, 24, 33, 38–40, 56, 161
n.48

vertical sweeps, 9

violations

of banishment orders, 97–98

of drug laws, 68

of no-contact orders, 177 n.10

of off-limits orders, 45

of park exclusion laws, 47

of probation, 88

of SOAP and SODA orders, 100

of trespass admonishments, 51, 58, 107–108

vouchers, in lieu of public housing, 29

VUCSA (Violation of the Uniform Controlled
Substances Act), attempted, 87–88

wet housing, 154

Wilson, James Q., 32

Yeakle and Sheffer v. City of Portland, 172 n.52

Yesler Terrace, 72–73

zero-tolerance policing, New York City, 33,
174–175 n.77

zone expansion, 144